

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case No. <u>4:21-MJ-71869 MAG</u>
Plaintiff, v.) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
REGINALD PATILLO	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Defendant(s).)
Trial Act from December 2, 2021, to December 6, 202	on December 2, 2021, the court excludes time under the Speedy 21, and finds that the ends of justice served by the 1c and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § cases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance woul See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	d be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the or law, that it is unreasonable to exp	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact pect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial hed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the coparagraph and — based on the partithe time limits for a preliminary heat extending the 30-day time period for	and taking into account the public interest in the prompt purt sets a status conference on the date set forth in the first ites' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending aring under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for or an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the d. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Down
DATED:12/7/21	
	Donna M. Ryu United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: s/ Jerome Matthews	s/ Michelle J. Kane
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney